2025 MIAC Men's Basketball Playoffs

February 25th - March 1st, 2025

High-Seed Host

Championship Schedule

Tuesday, February 25, 2025

FINAL	
© Concordia College	59
Hamline University	74
Box Score Recap	
hamline: No. 3 Pipers Take Care of No. 6 Cobbers in MIAC Tournament Quarterfinal	
FINAL	
Augsburg University	57
Carleton College	73
Box Score Recap	
carleton: Knights pull away in second half for quarterfinal win over Augsburg	

Thursday, February 27, 2025

FINAL	
Carleton College	75
Gustavus Adolphus College	64
Box Score Recap	
carleton: Knights topple top seed Gustavus Adolphus, advance to MIAC Finals gustavusadolphus: Men's Basketball Comes Up Short in 75-64 Loss to Carleton	
FINAL	
Hamline University	54
Saint John's University	72
Box Score Recap	
hamline: Pipers End 2024-25 Season in MIAC Semifinal saintjohns: No. 10 SJU Gains Measure of Revenge With MIAC Semifinal Win Over Hamline, 72-54	

Saturday, March 1, 2025

FINAL	
Carleton College	59
5JU Saint John's University	74
Box Score Recap	
carleton: Knights fall at No. 10 Saint John's in MIAC Finals saintjohns: Balanced Johnnies Wrap Up MIAC Playoff Title With 74-59 Victory Over Carleton	

Tentative Schedule

Semifinals | Thursday, Feb. 27, 2025

No. 4 Carleton 75, No. 1 Gustavus 64 | Box Score | No. 2 Saint John's 72, No. 3 Hamline 54 | Box Score |

Championship | Saturday, Mar. 1, 2025

No. 2 Saint John's 74, No. 4 Carleton 59 | Box Score |

GAME TIMES ARE TENTATIVE

*Note: In the event of a double-header in the quarterfinals or semifinals with one institution hosting both men's and women's games, the men's game will be played at 5 PM, followed by the women's game at 8 PM.

Ticket Prices

MIAC Admission

\$12 - General Admission \$3 - Students with ID Free - Children 5 and under * MIAC passes accepted

Tickets for the quarterfinal games will go on sale Sunday morning at 10:00 a.m.

Tiebreakers

Seeding for the MIAC Basketball Playoffs will be determined by the regular-season Conference standings. In case of a tie, the following formula will be used (revised 5/2024):

Two-team tie:

- 1. Head-to-head results
- 2. Winning percentage versus other conference teams in descending order of final standings
- a. When arriving at another pair of tied teams while comparing records, use each team's winning percentage against the collective tied teams as a group (before their own tiebreaking procedures), rather than the performance against the individual tied teams.
- b. When comparing records against a single team or a group of teams, the higher winning percentage shall prevail, even if the number of games played against the team or group is unequal (i.e., 2-0 is better than 3-1, but 2-0 is not better than 1-0).
- 3. Coin flip

Multiple-team tie:

- 1. Results of head-to-head competition during the regular season.
- a. When comparing results against the tied teams, teams will be seeded based on the winning percentage among the group, even if the number of games played against the team or group is unequal (i.e., 2-0 is better than 3-1, but 2-0 is not better than 1-0).
- b. If all teams in the group are separated based on winning percentage, all ties are broken.
- c. If the winning percentage among the group for any tied teams is equal, the tie-breaker procedures will continue down the list with those specific tied teams only (e.g. if there is a four-team tie, one team is 4-0, another is 3-1 and the last two are 2-2 among the group, the two teams that are 2-2 move on in the tie-breaking procedure and the teams that are 4-0 and 3-1 assume the next two available highest seeds).
 - d. Teams can be separated from the top, middle, or bottom. The objective is to break the tie.
- e. If a team or teams are separated from the group based on step a, seeding for remaining teams among the group is not determined by head-to-head record vs. the remaining teams, but rather by taking all remaining teams to the next tie-breaker.
- 2. If the remaining teams are still tied, then each tied team's record shall be compared to the team occupying the highest position in the final regular-season standings, continuing down through the standings until one team gains an advantage.
- a. When arriving at another pair of tied teams while comparing records, use each team's winning percentage against the collective tied teams as a group (before their own tiebreaking procedures), rather than the performance against the individual tied teams.
- b. When comparing records against a single team or group of teams, the higher winning percentage shall prevail, even if the number of games played against the team or group is unequal (i.e., 2-0 is better than 3-1, but 2-0 is not better than 1-0).

 3. Coin flip